## Highlights of Pending Legislation April 24, 2007

### Flood Management

| AB 5<br>AB 70<br>AB 156<br>AB 162<br>AB 1452            | (Wolk) Flood Protection: Local Plans   | 1<br>1 |
|---|--|--------|
| AB 1507<br>SB 5<br>SB 6<br>SB 17                        | (Emmerson) Alluvial Fan Task Force<br>(Machado) Flood Management.<br>(Oropeza) Land Use Planning: Flood Control<br>(Florez) Flood Protection | 2      |
| SB 276<br>SB 378<br>SB 732                              | (Steinberg) Folsom Dam Modification Project  | 3      |
|   | Environmental Protection   |        |
| AB 6<br>AB 26   | (Houston) California Environmental Quality Act(Nakanishi) Flood Control: Natural Community Conservation Plan                                 |        |
| AB 188<br>AB 424<br>AB 1270                             | (Aghazarian) Conservation Easement Registry  | 4      |
| AB 1641   | (Huff) Natural Resources   |        |
|   | Climate Change   |        |
| AB 109 (N   | lunez) California Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006: Annual Report  | 4      |
|   | Land Use / Planning  |        |
| AB 27<br>AB 29<br>AB 665<br>AB 842<br>AB 872<br>AB 1253 | (Parra) Partnership for the San Joaquin Valley   | 5 5 5  |
| AB 1426   | (Wolk) Parks and Nature Education Facilities: Central Valley Vision  | 6      |

|                    | (Wiggins) State Bond Funds: Allocation   | 1      |
|--------------------|--|--------|
|                    | Growth Scenarios: Environmental Review   | 0      |
|                    | Water Quality  |        |
| AB 422             | (Hancock) Underground Storage Tanks: Hazardous Substances: Water Quality   | 6      |
| AB 909             | (Wolk) Mercury Monitoring and Remediation  | 6      |
|                    | Water Supply   |        |
| AB 41              | (La Malfa) Water Resources: Bond Proceeds  | 7      |
| AB 224             | (Wolk) Water Supply Planning   |        |
| AB 559             | (Ruskin) Public Water Systems  |        |
| AB 566             | (Plescia) Water Conservation   | 7      |
| AB 662             | (Ruskin) Water Conservation  | /      |
| AB 877             | (Parra) San Joaquin Valley: Water Supply   |        |
| AB 1297            | (Arambula) Water: Regional Water Management Plans  |        |
| AB 1376            | (Berryhill) Urban Water Management Plan: Comments  |        |
| AB 1404<br>AB 1435 | (Laird) Water Use Measurement Information  |        |
|                    | (Salas) Water Charges: Conservation Rate Structure   | 0      |
| AB 1489            | (Huffman and Wolk) Safe Drinking Water, Water Quality and Supply,  | _      |
| 00.07              | Flood Control, River and Coastal Protection Bond Act of 2006   | 9      |
| SB 27              | (Simitian) Clean Drinking Water, Water Supply Security and   | 0      |
| SB 59              | Environmental Improvement Bond Act of 2007(Cogdill) Reliable Water Supply Bond Act of 2008                           | ყ<br>ი |
| SB 178             | (Steinberg) Groundwater  |        |
| SB 310             | (Machado) State Water Resources Development System   |        |
|                    |  |        |
| SB 326<br>SB 334   | (Aanestad) Water Resources   |        |
| SB 395             | (Cox) Water Supply: Groundwater Aquifers   |        |
| SB 399             | (Dutton) Sacramento- San Joaquin Delta: Water Infrastructure   |        |
| SB 821             | (Kuehl) Land Use: Water Supplies: Report   |        |
| SB 825             | (Padilla) Water: Water Conservation Policy   |        |
| SB 847             | (Margett) Water Resources: The California Water Plan   |        |
| SB 862             | (Kuehl) Water Resources  | 10     |
| SB 1002            | (Perata) Safe Drinking Water, Water Quality and Supply, Flood Control, River and Coastal Protection Bond Act of 2006 | 11     |
| SB 1030            | (Negrete McLeod) Water: Urban Water Suppliers  | 12     |
|                    | (Simitian) Clean Drinking Water, Water Supply Security, and Environmental Improvement Bond Act of 2007               |        |
|                    | Delta Governance   |        |
| <b>_</b>           |  |        |
| SB 8               | (Kuehl) California Bay-Delta Authority Act   |        |
| SB 34              | (Torlakson) Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta: Strategic Financing Plan   |        |
| SB 555<br>SB 799   | (Machado) Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta(Dutton) Water: California Bay- Delta Act                                      |        |

#### Flood Management

#### AB 5 (Wolk) Flood Protection: Local Plans

As amended on 4/17, this bill would require the Department of Water Resources to prepare by Jan. 1, 2009 an overall strategy for flood protection in the Central Valley and by Jan. 1, 2011, a Central Valley Flood Protection Plan, to be updated every five years. It also would establish the Local Flood Protection Plan Assistance Fund to assist local agencies in developing companion plans.

#### AB 70 (Jones) Flood Liability

As amended 4/11, this bill would subject a local public entity to joint liability with the state to the extent that the local public entity increases the state's exposure to liability for property damage by approving new development in a previously undeveloped area that is protected by a state flood control project located within the Sacramento River Flood Control Project or the Sacramento-San Joaquin watershed.

#### AB 156 (Laird) Flood Control

As amended 4/16, this bill would require DWR to prepare a schedule for mapping areas at risk of flooding; prepare a status report on the State Plan of Flood Control; notify property owners of flooding hazards; prepare maps for levee flood protection zones; require local agencies to prepare reports on the condition of project levees in their jurisdiction; require local agencies to adopt flood safety plans as a condition for receiving State funds for levee upgrades; allow DWR to participate in the design of environmental enhancements associated with federal flood control projects and in the construction of environmental enhancements for which the State is authorized to participate; and clarify maintenance area formation procedures.

#### AB 162 (Wolk) Land Use: Water Supply

As introduced, the bill would require land use elements to identify and annually review those areas covered by city and county general plans that are subject to flooding as identified by floodplain mapping prepared by the Federal Emergency Management Agency or DWR and would require, upon the next revision of the housing element, on or after January 1, 2008, the conservation element of the general plan to identify rivers, creeks, streams, flood corridors, riparian habitat, and land that may accommodate floodwater for purposes of groundwater recharge and stormwater management.

#### AB 1452 (Wolk) Central Valley Flood Protection

As introduced, this bill would prohibit the Reclamation Board from approving funding for any flood protection project that narrows flood channels or reduces the capacity of the flood protection system in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Valley to convey water. The bill would declare that it is the policy of the state that the expenditure of funds for flood protection in the Sacramento-San Joaquin Valley reflect specified priorities. The bill would require DWR to correct deficiencies in flood protection facilities that present an imminent risk of failure and threaten human life.

#### AB 1507 (Emmerson) Alluvial Fan Task Force

As amended 4/09, this bill would require the director to establish the Alluvial Fan Task Force to review the state of knowledge regarding alluvial fan floodplains and to prepare recommendations relating to alluvial fan floodplain management. The director would be authorized to enter into an interagency agreement with an appropriate agency to

oversee the task force. The task force would be required to develop a model ordinance on alluvial fan flooding and prepare and submit a specified report to the Legislature not later than December 30, 2008. These duties would be required to be carried out only to the extent funding is made available for those purposes from the federal government or private sources. The expenditure of state funds would only be permitted as a condition of receiving federal funds.

#### SB 5 (Machado) Flood Management

As amended 4/9, this bill would (1) require DWR to prepare the Sacramento-San Joaquin River Flood Management System Plan to include specified components including a description of the Sacramento-San Joaquin River Flood Management System, a description of the performance of the system and challenges to modifying the system to provide appropriate levels of flood protection, and findings and recommendations with regard to structural and nonstructural projects that will significantly reduce flood risks within the Sacramento and San Joaquin Rivers drainage. DWR would be required to include in the plan evaluations of the methods for improving the performance of the system, the structural improvements necessary to bring each of the facilities of the State Plan of Flood Control for the Central Valley to within its design standard, methods for providing an urban level of flood protection to urbanized areas, and methods for reducing flood risks in nonurbanized areas.

(2) This bill would require specified local governments to revise general plans to adequately address flood risks and to explicitly address flood risks in approvals for all projects located in flood hazard zones and in any environmental impact report. In addition, the revision of a local housing element may determine that land suitable for urban development may exclude land protected by inadequate flood control facilities.

#### SB 6 (Oropeza) Land Use Planning: Flood Control

As amended 4/11, this bill would require the land use, open-space, safety, and conservation elements of the general plan to include provisions relating to areas that are subject to flooding based on existing climate predictions regarding ocean levels.

(2) The Subdivision Map Act requires the legislative body of a city or county to deny approval of a tentative map, or a parcel map for which a tentative map was not required, if it makes certain findings. This bill would include among the findings requiring denial of approval a finding that the design of the subdivision or type of improvement is likely to cause a substantial risk of flooding of the site or adjacent property, based on existing climate predictions regarding ocean levels.

#### SB 17 (Florez) Flood Protection

As introduced, this bill would rename the Reclamation Board the Central Valley Flood Protection Board, specify membership and prescribe duties, add evidentiary hearing provisions, add conflict of interest requirements for board members, and require a report on the status of the State Plan of Flood Control.

#### SB 276 (Steinberg) Folsom Dam Modification Project

This bill would adopt and authorize, at an estimated cost to the state of the sum that may be appropriated by the Legislature for state participation, the project to modify Folsom Dam adopted and authorized by Congress in an unspecified provision of federal law, and as modified by an unspecified addendum to that prescribed report prepared by the Sacramento Area Flood Control Agency.

#### SB 378 (Steinberg) Disaster Preparedness and Flood Prevention Bond Act of 2006

This bill would amend various provisions of Proposition 1E and require expenditures for levee repair projects to be deemed to be in response to an emergency for purposes of the Public Contract Code; require all contracts for those projects to provide for the payment of extra compensation to the contractor as a completion bonus; and require DWR, when evaluating levees and facilities pursuant to a specified project, to include an evaluation of the risk of the levees and facilities failing due to a seismic event. In addition, the bill would establish criteria for awarding grants for repair and improvement of flood levees and for the creation of flood protection corridors that are a part of the State Plan of Flood Control.

## SB 732 (Steinberg) Safe Drinking Water, Water Quality and Supply, Flood Control, River and Coastal Protection Bond Act of 2006

This bill would amend Proposition 84 and require DWR to develop project solicitation and evaluation guidelines for grants and establish other entities to develop granting guidelines for green and forest projects; require that inspections and evaluations of flood control projects include seismic evaluations; declare that floodplain mapping, flood control project evaluations and Delta flood control projects are conducted in response to an emergency; allow for bonus payments for early contract completion; require a study on reoperating state water supply facilities; and, develop a real-time flood forecasting model.

#### Environmental Protection

#### AB 6 (Houston) California Environmental Quality Act

This bill would require the state Air Resources Board to adopt market-based compliance mechanisms on emissions.

#### AB 26 (Nakanishi) Flood Control: Natural Community Conservation Plan

This bill would require the Department of Fish and Game to enter into a Natural Community Conservation Planning (NCCP) agreement with the Reclamation Board for the purpose of preparing a plan that encompasses the Sacramento and San Joaquin Drainage District. The NCCP would seek to provide conservation of multiple wildlife species while exempting flood control or management activity identified in the NCCP from existing notification requirements for streambed alteration agreements.

#### AB 188 (Aghazarian) Conservation Easement Registry

This bill would add six years of conservation easement data by changing the existing law that requires the Secretary of the Resources Agency to establish a central public registry of all conservation easements held or required by the state, or purchased with state grant funds provided by an agency, department, or division of the state on or after Jan. 1, 2006 to Jan. 1, 2000. It also would modify existing law that specifies the information that is required to be included in the registry, and limits the information that the secretary is required to post on the Internet.

#### AB 424 (Gaines) Wetlands Mitigation Banking: Notice

The Sacramento-San Joaquin Valley Wetlands Mitigation Bank Act of 1993 authorizes the Department of Fish and Game, until January 1, 2010, to qualify wetland mitigation bank sites, as defined, in the Sacramento-San Joaquin

Valley, to create wetlands in areas where wetlands are removed or filled, or where there are discharges into wetlands, under specified federal permits. This bill would prohibit the department from approving a wetlands mitigation bank, unless the memorandum of understanding or other agreement for the bank site requires the department to send notice, within 60 days of the date of agreement, to specified local governmental entities, if the mitigation takes place in the entity's approved sphere of influence.

## AB 1270 (Mullin) Bay-Delta Sport Fishing Enhancement Stamp Account: Unspent Funds

This bill would require that 25 percent of the unspent funds in the Bay-Delta Sport Fishing Enhancement Stamp Account at the Department of Fish and Game be spent for the replenishment of bay striped bass and sturgeon.

#### AB 1641 (Huff) Natural Resources

This bill would make technical, nonsubstantive changes to the allocation in Prop. 84 that makes \$928 million in bond funds available for the protection and restoration of rivers, lakes and streams, their watersheds, and associated land, water, and other natural resources. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.

#### Climate Change

## AB 109 (Nunez) California Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006: Annual Report

This bill would require the State Air Resources Board to report to the Legislature annually on the status and progress of implementing the California Global Warming Solutions Act of 2006.

#### Land Use / Planning

#### AB 27 (Parra) Partnership for the San Joaquin Valley

This bill would, from Jan. 1, 2009, to Jan. 1, 2020, create the California Partnership for the San Joaquin Valley, composed of the heads of specified state agencies and departments, local government members and private sector members, subject to specified criteria, to coordinate and improve existing local, state, and federal efforts for the valley to increase the living standards and the overall economic performance of the valley.

#### AB 29 (Hancock) Infill Development: Incentive Grants

This bill would require certain of the \$2.85 billion in G bonds authorized by the Housing and Emergency Shelter Trust Fund Act of 2006, upon appropriation, to be made

available to the Department of Housing and Community Development for the purposes of making infrastructure grants for construction or acquisition of capital assets, as defined, to qualifying cities, counties, and cities and counties. The bill would require a project to meet certain, listed criteria in order to be eligible for grant funding.

#### AB 665 (DeSaulnier) Growth Management

housing.

This bill would require the Office of Planning and Research to produce an annual Smart Growth Management Information Report, using key indicators of smart growth by region and would require the report to consist of specified criteria. The bill would also require the University of California Transportation Center to invite proposals from University of California faculty during the 2008-09 academic year to produce a report on the best practices of transportation-land use planning and smart growth management, that includes specified criteria.

AB 842 (Jones, DeSaulnier and Lieu) Regional Plans: Traffic Reduction
This bill would require the California Transportation Commission to update its guidelines
for the preparation of regional transportation plans, including a requirement that each
regional transportation plan provide for a 10% reduction in the growth increment of
vehicle miles traveled. This bill would require an unspecified sum to be made available
to fund grants to local government, to assist in the planning and production of infill

AB 872 (Davis) CEQA: Urban Infill Affordable Housing Developments: Exemption This bill would exempt an urban infill affordable housing development project of less than 300 units from the provisions of the California Environmental Quality Act, if the project complies with all applicable local land use and zoning ordinances and regulations.

#### AB 1253 (Caballero) Regional and Local Land Use Plans

This bill would create the Sustainable Communities Regional and Local Land Use Planning Program within the Resources Agency. It would appropriate unspecified sums from the \$90 million made available under Prop. 84 for planning grants and incentives for the development of specified regional and local land use plans, for grants and loans to local governments, councils of governments, and other public agencies for these purposes, subject to specified criteria developed by the Office of Planning and Research.

AB 1426 (Wolk) Parks and Nature Education Facilities: Central Valley Vision
This bill would require the Department of Parks and Recreation to develop a details

This bill would require the Department of Parks and Recreation to develop a detailed plan of implementation for its Central Valley Vision. In developing the plan, the department would be required to identify and prioritize specific sites and projects for acquisition and development in the Central Valley with respect to the protection of natural, cultural, and historical resources and access to recreational opportunities at state parks. The bill would require the department, by January 1, 2009, to report to Legislature on the plan and its timeline for implementation of the plan.

#### SB 292 (Wiggins) State Bond Funds: Allocation

This bill would require the Secretary for Environmental Protection and the Secretary of the Resources Agency to develop a planning grant program for local and regional agencies and nonprofit organizations to develop urban greening plans. The bill would require the planning grant program to comply with the requirements of Prop. 84, which provides not less than \$20 million for urban greening projects that reduce energy consumption, conserve water, improve air and water quality, and provide other community benefits

## SB 375 (Steinberg) Transportation Planning: Improved Travel Models: Preferred Growth Scenarios: Environmental Review

This bill would require the California Transportation Commission, by April 1, 2008, to adopt guidelines related to the travel demand models used in the development of regional transportation plans by regional transportation planning agencies. The bill would require a regional transportation planning agency for a region with a population of 800,000 or more to use those guidelines. The bill would specify certain policy choices that a travel demand model shall be capable of evaluating.

#### Water Quality

## AB 422 (Hancock) Underground Storage Tanks: Hazardous Substances: Water Quality

This bill would require any corrective action taken in response to an unauthorized release from an underground storage tank intended to address potential human health and ecological hazards to also be consistent with, and no less stringent than, the response action requirements, with regard to health or ecological risk assessments, for hazardous substance releases imposed under the California Superfund Act. This bill contains other related provisions and other existing laws.

#### AB 909 (Wolk) Mercury Monitoring and Remediation

Establishes the Mercury Monitoring and Remediation Fund and requires the State Water Resources Control Board to prepare a comprehensive plan for mercury and methylmercury characterization and control studies in the Delta.

#### Water Supply

## AB 41 (La Malfa) Water Resources: Temperance Flat Surface Water Storage Project and Sites Reservoir: CEQA

This bill exempts from CEQA the construction of the Temperance Flat and Sites Reservoir projects and imposes a state-mandated local program status, thus declaring legislative intent that the funds derived from Propositions 1E and 84, consistent with the intent of voters, be expended in the most cost-efficient and effective manner to address the state's critical lack of surface storage. This bill specifically identifies Temperance Flat and Sites as holding the greatest promise for providing new surface storage. In addition, the bill would exempt the construction of these facilities from CEQA requirements.

#### AB 224 (Wolk) Water Supply Planning

This bill would enact the Climate Change and Water Resource Protection Act of 2007. The bill would require the Department of Water Resources, as part of its statewide water resource management responsibilities, to include an analysis of the potential effects of climate change, to the extent applicable, in reports or plans that the department is required to prepare. The bill would prohibit the department from approving a request for a specified grant, submitted after Jan. 1, 2009, unless certain requirements are met. The department would be required, by July 1, 2008, to identify available peer-reviewed information, or develop information, regarding climate change and water resources for the state and each of the state's hydrologic basins for specified uses. The bill would require an urban water supplier and an agricultural water supplier to take certain action relating to specified climate change information.

#### AB 559 (Ruskin) Public Water Systems

This bill would require the State Department of Health Services to identify treatment methods, technologies, and other management options that reduce or eliminate the need to add disinfectants or additives to drinking water, to report to the Legislature on its progress by July 1, 2009, and to post the report and data on its Internet Web site.

#### AB 566 (Plescia) Water Conservation

Existing law, the Water Conservation in Landscaping Act, required the Department of Water Resources, by Jan. 1, 1992, to adopt a model local water efficient landscape ordinance that each local agency may adopt. Existing law further requires the department, to the extent funds are appropriated for this purpose, by Jan. 1, 2009, and after holding public hearings, to update the model water efficient landscape ordinance that may include climate information for irrigation scheduling based on the California Irrigation Management Information System. This bill would require, rather than permit, the model ordinance to include climate information for irrigation scheduling based on the California Irrigation Management Information System. Further, this bill requires DWR to continue operation of the California Irrigation Management Information System to allow evapotranspiration data to be generated and made available statewide under further required standard data protocols.

#### AB 662 (Ruskin) Water Conservation

The Warren-Alquist State Energy Resources Conservation and Development Act requires the State Energy Resources Conservation and Development Commission (Energy Commission) to prescribe, by regulation, standards for minimum levels of operating efficiency for appliances based on feasible and attainable efficiencies, or other feasible improved efficiencies, that will reduce the electrical energy consumption growth rate and that do not result in any added total costs to the consumer over the designed life of the appliances concerned. This bill would instead require that the standards for minimum levels of operating efficiency be based on those efficiencies that will reduce the energy or water consumption growth rates, and that do not result in any added total costs over the designed life of the appliances concerned.

#### AB 877 (Parra) San Joaquin Valley: Water Supply

This bill would require the Department of Water Resources to study the economic impacts of water supply reduction in specified counties in the San Joaquin Valley, and to report its findings to the Legislature on or before Dec. 31, 2008.

#### AB 1297 (Arambula) Water: Regional Water Management Plans

The bill would authorize the department of Water Resources to establish and revise a dollar limit on individual grants made for the development, updating, or improvement of integrated regional water management plans, with certain exceptions, and would require the department to give preferences to certain applications for the development of an integrated regional water management plan. Further, the bill provides no more than 5% of the amounts listed on the allocation schedule for the 12 identified water regions to be made available for development of integrated regional water management plans in regions where no plan has been adopted.

#### AB 1376 (Berryhill) Urban Water Management Plan: Comments

This bill would require each urban water supplier to notify the planning departments of any city or county within which the supplier provides water with at least 60 days prior notice that the urban water supplier will be reviewing the plan and considering amendments or changes to the plan.

#### AB 1404 (Laird) Water Use Measurement Information

This bill would require the Department of Water Resources, the State Water Resources Control Board, and the State Department of Public Health to develop and implement jointly a coordinated water use reporting database, along with standardized forms for submitting information to be reflected in the database, to be made available through the Internet. The bill would require the department to convene a group of technical staff and interested stakeholders to develop the scope and content of the database. The bill would require the state agencies with responsibilities under this water measurement program to undertake their various described duties only to the extent that funds are made available for those purposes. The bill, in accordance with a specified time table, would require water users and water suppliers required to report water use measurement information to the state to do so by using the standardized forms developed for use in connection with the database.

AB 1420 (Laird) Water Demand Management Measures: Water Management Grant or Loan Funds.

This bill would require the terms of and eligibility for any water management grant or loan to an urban water supplier awarded or administered by the Department of Water Resources, the State Water Resources Control Board, or the California Bay-Delta Authority, with a certain exception, to be conditioned on the implementation of the water demand management measures described in their urban water management plan. This bill also requires establishment of a standard of excellence in water conservation for priority for grant awards to be based on proposals meeting this standard.

#### AB 1435 (Salas) Water Charges: Conservation Rate Structure

This bill would require a local retail water purveyor that supplies water to institute a conservation rate structure based on the amount of water by each customer that has a service connection for which a water meter has been installed.

## AB 1489 (Huffman and Wolk) Safe Drinking Water, Water Quality and Supply, Flood Control, River and Coastal Protection Bond Act of 2006

This bill would amend Proposition 84 and require DWR to provide technical assistance for the development of integrated regional water management plans. It would also establish additional criteria DWR must consider when granting preferences for funding projects that assist local public agencies to meet the long-term water needs of the state including the delivery of safe drinking water and the protection of water quality and the environment. In addition, DWR would be required to conduct a study of groundwater resources and include specified data collection and analysis.

## SB 27 (Simitian) Sacramento-San Joaquin River Delta, Clean Drinking Water, Water Supply Security and Environmental Improvement Bond Act of 2007

This bill would require the Secretary of the Resources Agency, on or before January 1, 2008, to begin implementing certain actions on behalf of the Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta, including investing in emergency preparedness, funding projects to aid sustainability in the Delta, identifying critical levees to be strengthened, and commencing Delta restoration projects. The secretary would be required to take necessary action to ensure that the requirements of the 2006 Governor's executive order relating to the Delta Vision Committee are met in a timely manner. The bill would declare legislative intent to enact legislation to begin implementing a program for sustainable management of the Delta in 2008.

#### SB 59 (Cogdill) Reliable Water Supply Bond Act of 2008

This bill would require the Secretary of State to submit the Reliable Water Supply Bond Act of 2008 to voters in November 2008 for approval to finance a water supply program with General Obligation bonds in the amount of \$3.95 billion. Implementing the Governor's Strategic Growth Plan for Water Management, the bond act authorized by the bill would include funding for surface storage, groundwater storage, Delta sustainability, water conservation and water resources stewardship.

#### SB 178 (Steinberg and Kuehl) Groundwater

This bill would establish a groundwater monitoring program in which specified entities may propose to be designated by the Department of Water Resources as groundwater monitoring entities to monitor and report groundwater elevations in all or part of a groundwater basin or subbasin. The bill would authorize the department to make recommendations for improving an existing monitoring program; require additional monitoring wells under certain circumstances; and require the department, under

prescribed circumstances, to perform groundwater monitoring functions for those portions of a basin or a subbasin for which no monitoring entity has agreed to perform those functions under this program.

#### SB 310 (Machado) State Water Resources Development System

The Department of Water Resources operates the State Water Resources Development System, commonly referred to as the State Water Project, in accordance with the California Water Resources Development Bond Act. This bill would make technical, nonsubstantive changes to a provision that authorizes the issuance of bond funds in the amount of \$1.75 billion for the purposes of that bond act.

#### SB 326 (Aanestad) Water Resources

This bill would make legislative findings and declarations concerning the need to invest in additional water infrastructure such as facilities for expanded water storage and improved water conveyance.

#### SB 334 (Ackerman) State Water Policy

The existing Porter-Cologne Water Quality Control Act requires the State Water Resources Control Board to formulate and adopt state policy for water quality control. This bill would make technical, nonsubstantive changes to that law.

#### SB 395 (Cox) Water Supply: Groundwater Aquifers

This bill would establish the North American Basin Groundwater Storage Act of 2007. The bill would make findings and declarations of the Legislature relating to the use of groundwater aquifers to increase water supply reliability. The bill would authorize local public agencies located in the North American subbasin of the Sacramento Valley Groundwater Basin to implement projects that use an aquifer storage and recovery well to inject drinking water into a groundwater aquifer, store that drinking water in that aquifer, and later pump that drinking water for the local public agency's use.

#### SB 399 (Dutton) Sacramento- San Joaquin Delta: Water Infrastructure

This bill would make legislative findings and declarations relating to the Delta and the need for additional water infrastructure.

#### SB 821 (Kuehl) Land Use: Water Supplies: Report

This bill would reduce from 500 to 250 the number of proposed dwelling units required to trigger provisions of the Subdivision Map Act requiring the legislative body of a city or county or the designated advisory agency to provide written verification from the applicable public water system that a sufficient water supply is available or, in addition, a specified finding is made by the local agency that sufficient water supplies are, or will be, available prior to completion of the project.

#### SB 825 (Padilla) Water: Water Conservation Policy

This bill would declare that it is the policy of the state to promote water conservation, water efficiency, and water recycling as the most cost-effective means of increasing water supply and protecting the environment.

#### SB 847 (Margett) Water Resources: The California Water Plan

This bill would make technical, nonsubstantive changes to the provision of the law requiring the Department of Water Resources to update every five years the California Water Plan, which is a plan for the conservation, development, and use of the water resources of the state.

#### SB 862 (Kuehl) Water Resources

This bill, among other things, would:

1) Require the DWR, commencing in 2009, and every 2 years thereafter, to prepare and deliver to all State Water Project contractors, all city and county planning departments, and all regional and metropolitan planning departments within the project service area a report that accurately sets forth, under a range of

- hydrologic conditions, the then-existing overall delivery capability of the project facilities and the allocation of that capacity to each contractor.
- 2) Require the California Water Plan that is due on or after Dec. 31, 2013, to include a discussion of the amount of energy both produced by, and required by, each strategy, during peak and nonpeak use, that may be pursued to meet the future water needs of the state, and would require the department to release certain information regarding the amount of energy both produced by, and required to provide, current and projected water supplies.
- 3) Require Urban Water Management Plans prepared by local water suppliers every five years to quantify the amount of energy both produced and required by certain existing and planned water sources and, with regard to a cost-benefit analysis for water demand management measures, to include energy costs and benefits of conserved water during periods of peak and nonpeak use.
- 4) Require local agencies that must prepare specified groundwater management plans to update those plans on or before Dec. 31, 2008, and every 5 years thereafter.
- 5) Substantially revise existing law relating to agricultural water management planning to require every agricultural water supplier to prepare and adopt an agricultural water management plan, as prescribed, on or before Dec. 31, 2010. The bill would delete the definition of "agricultural water supplier" or "supplier" and would, instead, require the DWR to conduct a survey of entities that supply water for agricultural purposes to gather data, and recommend the appropriate minimum size of a water agency that should prepare an agricultural water management plan.

## SB 1002 (Perata) Safe Drinking Water, Water Quality and Supply, Flood Control, River and Coastal Protection Bond Act of 2006

This bill would appropriate a total of \$882.5 million from Proposition 1E, Proposition 84, Proposition 50, Proposition 13, and Prop. 204, including:

- Prop 1E (\$200 million) \$50 million for essential emergency preparedness supplies and projects for the Delta and \$150 million for grants for storm water flood management projects
- Prop. 84 (\$280 million) \$50 million for grants for small community drinking water system infrastructure improvements and related actions to meet safe drinking water standards; \$15 million to complete feasibility studies associated with new surface storage under CALFED; \$15 million to develop a plan for reoperating the state's flood protection and water supply systems to optimize the use of existing facilities and groundwater storage capacity; and \$200 million for grants for integrated regional water management projects that integrate groundwater management with water supply management and water quality.
- Prop. 13 (\$125 million) \$22 million for grants for water recycling projects; \$16 million for grants for water conservation projects, groundwater recharge facilities, urban water conservation projects and infrastructure rehabilitation; and \$125 million for design and construction of demonstration fish screens at the Clifton Court Forebay and other projects to reduce losses of fish to water diversions.

- Prop. 50 (\$32.5 million) \$12.5 million to DWR for contaminant and salt removal technologies; and \$20 million to DWR to implement the Franks Tract Pilot Project.
- Prop. 204 (\$245 million) 25 million to acquire and restore habitat for delta smelt and other pelagic fish; \$18 million for projects to improve water quality in the Bay-Delta; \$200 million for Bay-Delta Ecosystem Projects such as a) acquiring and restoring habitat for delta smelt and other pelagic fish, b) designing and implementing projects and programs to reduce the impact of invasive species on the delta ecosystem; and c) designing and implementing projects and programs to reduce, toxic materials and other pollutants that adversely affect the Delta ecosystem.

#### SB 1030 (Negrete McLeod) Water: Urban Water Suppliers

This bill would make a technical, nonsubstantive change to the requirement that the department take into consideration whether the urban water supplier is implementing the water demand management activities that the urban water supplier identified in its urban water management plan in evaluating applications for grants and loans made available pursuant to the certain provisions of the Costa-Machado Water Act of 2000.

## SCA 2 (Simitian) Clean Drinking Water, Water Supply Security, and Environmental Improvement Bond Act of 2007

This measure would establish requirements for the amendment or repeal of a bond measure designated as the "Clean Drinking Water, Water Supply Security, and Environmental Improvement Bond Act of 2007" (SB 27 – Simitian) to be submitted to the voters at an unspecified statewide election.

#### <u>Delta Governance</u>

#### SB 8 (Kuehl) California Bay-Delta Authority Act

This bill would include environmental justice among the prescribed program elements. The bill would require the implementing agencies, both individually and collectively, to be the implementing agencies for the environmental justice program element.

# SB 34 (Torlakson) Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta: Strategic Financing Plan Existing law requires the Secretary of the Resources Agency, in collaboration with the Secretary of Business, Transportation and Housing, to develop a strategic plan, including a strategic financing plan, to achieve a sustainable Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta. As amended 4/17, this bill would require the strategic financing plan to include recommendations in accordance with a "beneficiaries pay" principle, as to persons and entities on which a fee would be imposed, and proposed fee categories in order to create a dedicated revenue stream to pay for maintenance and improvements to delta

#### SB 555 (Machado) Sacramento-San Joaquin Delta

levees, project levees, and the levee conveyance system.

This bill would change provisions of the Johnston-Baker-Andal-Boatwright Delta Protection Act of 1992 so that only the Delta Protection Commission itself – and not the

## Highlights of Pending Legislation April 25, 2007

executive director – may determine whether an issue raised on appeal is not within the commission's jurisdiction or does not raise an appealable issue.

#### SB 799 (Dutton) Water: California Bay- Delta Act

This bill would make technical, nonsubstantive changes to the provision of law requiring the California Bay-Delta Authority and CALFED agencies to carry out necessary programs, projects, and activities.